

## **Request For Proposal Contractor – Replacement of Boat Ramp**

### **2022-02 Addendum #1**

---

**Q#1:** Section 2.03 and section 3 of the RFP, required services and submission requirements, seem rather excessive for a simple boat launch, and will significantly increase the bid price. Where these sections simply cut and paste from a previous larger RFP or do you actually want everything specified in section 3 as part of the submission requirements and is the contractor to be held to every bullet point in section 2.03 more specifically bullets 2 and 3 (Geotechnical/hydrological assessment as required and Acceptable design solutions and recommendations MNDMNRF, DFO approvals as required)?

**A#1:** The Municipality's main goal with this RFP is to ensure that the successful contractor has both the expertise and the experience in this type of installation. With regards to Section 2.03, Geological assessment and approvals, the key point here is "as required". It is expected that the successful contractor will have the knowledge and background to deal with either of these issues if they arise prior to or during construction. We are aware that it is necessary to include a contingency for these items in the RFP pricing, but it ultimately ensures that there will be limited surprises once the design & construction commences. The items in Section 3 are generic and included to ensure evidence of experience. As you have noted, based on the size of this project, the amount of detail to be provided here will likely be minimal.