

Q1 What do you want the villages of Ahmic Harbour and Magnetawan to look like?

Answered: 46 Skipped: 7

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More retail incl restaurants	12/2/2021 6:45 PM
2	Communities that look like, protect and enhance the municipality's natural environment. Vegetation, trees, water access, clean air, pedestrian and bike friendly.	11/27/2021 3:44 PM
3	The same way they do now -- with small homes and cottages and preservation of the natural beauty of the area	11/27/2021 1:52 PM
4	Pretty much as is...just some of the garbage and old trailers removed...open up the harbour tavern..it wad a fav place to vist by water or car	11/20/2021 9:55 PM
5	Pretty and quaint	11/20/2021 8:25 PM
6	Vibrant and thriving	11/18/2021 11:02 PM
7	Quaint, tidy, clean, no junk no mixed uses like industrial beside residential. Magnetawan should clean up its waterfront	11/18/2021 10:24 PM
8	They should blend into the village look, nothing that is out of place in its architecture.	11/16/2021 4:37 PM
9	friendly, lively, business opportunity	11/10/2021 2:15 PM
10	Maintain their uniqueness and personality	11/9/2021 6:50 PM
11	Fully functioning commercial and residential community	11/9/2021 12:00 PM
12	I like the way they look now.	11/8/2021 1:58 PM
13	I would like Magnetawan to be a more vibrant community with additional merchants. It seems to me that the more stringent the rules, the greater the barrier to entry are and so the likelihood of new and successful business diminish	11/7/2021 12:22 AM
14	They should be attractive for year-round residents and tourists.	11/6/2021 3:41 PM
15	livable, walkable, with a nice mix of stores and residential units	11/6/2021 1:38 PM
16	Mix of residential and commercial, no high rise	10/27/2021 4:08 PM
17	Primarily rural communities with a village centre (more small town / boutique like retail style development to support downtown Magnetawan would be nice - good ex would be Algonquin Fine Foods, Magnetawan Grill and Grocery, LCBO). Also want to support the existing hardware store as it is a draw into the town. It would be nice to have a bit more growth to encourage people to shop, eat, spending money locally.	10/27/2021 8:15 AM
18	regulations for view blockage on the lake, shoreline view and setbacks for boat lifts and docks. other municipalities have viewline restrictions on lakes	10/19/2021 1:49 PM
19	like they valued and used their extensive waterfronts allowing easy access from road, river to town facilities	10/19/2021 1:04 PM
20	Ahmic Harbour more services/areas of interest, cafe, pub, general store gas. Keep them small but mindful of providing services	10/19/2021 1:02 PM
21	concentrate on promoting businesses on the main street. Allow mixed commercial and residential zoning to promote small businesses by allowing residential use in basements.	10/19/2021 12:59 PM
22	Given a twenty year window; General beautification with minimum property standards to be encouraged and enforced. Improved signage. Both residential and retail/commercial growth	10/16/2021 3:14 PM

Official Plan/Zoning Survey

occurring in each through the use of currently existing lots wherever possible. Retail to be located in the village cores.

23	I do not want that portion of the municipality which is shoreline property to look like the Mukoka Lakes. In otherwords, chopped up into 350 ft bits of shoreline with "executive cottages" and massive boathouses. I want Ahmic Harbour and Magnetawan to maintain the green spaces we have with comfortable (not massive 4,000sq ft) family dwellings. We need to create/maintain parks and community gardens. We need affordable housing and businesses to attract people back into this area so visitors won't have to drive to Parry Sound or Huntsville for supplies of any kind.	10/16/2021 10:38 AM
24	Like they do now	10/16/2021 10:25 AM
25	They should retain their small town charm but also allow more small businesses and industry.	10/16/2021 9:00 AM
26	Nice	10/11/2021 6:50 PM
27	I like them as they are and would like to see drastic increases in population density limited.	10/11/2021 5:57 PM
28	Remain basically residential with regulations to make it attractive.	10/8/2021 11:49 AM
29	no response	10/4/2021 2:54 PM
30	A scenic vibrant community welcoming seasonal viisitors	10/3/2021 12:17 PM
31	Ahmic Harbour- the waterfront is full, I would love to have the Harbour restaurant back with a patio and fair noise restrictions in place	10/2/2021 7:48 AM
32	The villages should look like a place you'd want to visit. Mix of spots to eat/ shop while enjoying the natural beauty of the villages.	10/1/2021 10:13 AM
33	Picturesque with shops and pedestrian friendly	10/1/2021 9:57 AM
34	The hamlets /villages they are. Charming with a reason to visit.	10/1/2021 8:04 AM
35	Clean, adequate parking for cars and boats. Public washrooms and picnic areas. Well kept sidewalks . I would like more underground wires, less hydro/ phone poles. Bilaws to enforce cutting grass as a few places don't and it looks bad. No old cars sitting in yards.	10/1/2021 1:43 AM
36	Lively, thriving, inviting destinations	9/30/2021 11:19 PM
37	Good land use planning so each zone is properly considered on how it will affect the community for future years.	9/30/2021 10:33 PM
38	Cottage country.	9/30/2021 8:59 PM
39	Tidy with nicer front yards and artsy commercial development. Right now some properties look horrible. We want to encourage business and tourists and places for locals	9/30/2021 12:09 AM
40	The Municipality needs residents and new business. Make it easy for business, new residents including retirees to move into the Municipality and thrive. Make it easy for vacation properties with or without lakefront to be built and contribute to the sustainability of the Municipality.	9/29/2021 1:50 AM
41	Maintain the feeling of small town Ontario, while preserving the historic value of both communities	9/28/2021 11:52 PM
42	Whatever the land owner chooses for it to look like.	9/28/2021 8:20 PM
43	More unique shops/retail. and dining options. Clean up current messy homes and businesses.	9/28/2021 4:52 PM
44	Clean and inviting	9/28/2021 4:06 PM
45	much as they are and more recreation & retirement friendly	9/28/2021 2:38 PM
46	Normal	9/28/2021 2:07 PM

Q2 Where do you think Industrial and Heavy Commercial businesses should be located in the Municipality?

Answered: 48 Skipped: 5

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Away from all lakes	12/2/2021 6:45 PM
2	Nowhere. There is no need for these kinds of businesses in Magnetawan. We need businesses that encourage and support our residents life in a natural environment. There is no economic justification for heavy commercial and industrial businesses to set up shop in in Magnetawan. No municipal water or sewage system and environmental protection have essentially stopped such businesses from operating in small rural municipalities in Ontario.	11/27/2021 3:44 PM
3	In town only, near each other (e.g. next to the Home Hardware), and as limited as possible.	11/27/2021 1:52 PM
4	Not near the water ways or dwellings...do not believe we need it...pollution and noise etc	11/20/2021 9:55 PM
5	Outside of the centre	11/20/2021 8:25 PM
6	Along the highways	11/18/2021 11:02 PM
7	Away from the waterfront and town proper looks ugly and should be contained in their own industrial park	11/18/2021 10:24 PM
8	In an industrial park, on the 124	11/16/2021 4:37 PM
9	in the boonies	11/10/2021 2:15 PM
10	In existing areas where they are already permitted	11/9/2021 6:50 PM
11	not at all within villages or towns, and not impacting impacting residential areas.	11/9/2021 12:00 PM
12	Outskirts of town	11/8/2021 1:58 PM
13	Outside of town away from lake	11/7/2021 12:22 AM
14	They should be on Hwy 124, away from the river and lakes and where the pavement is adequate to handle lots of truck traffic.	11/6/2021 3:41 PM
15	not in agricultural areas, grouped together	11/6/2021 1:38 PM
16	Along 124 or 520	10/27/2021 4:08 PM
17	Outside of the main village centres and away from water ways.	10/27/2021 8:15 AM
18	in rural areas	10/19/2021 1:49 PM
19	on the waterfront if they service lakefront residents where their resources demand e.g gravel	10/19/2021 1:04 PM
20	In Magnetawan, not near lakes or residential areas	10/19/2021 1:02 PM
21	outside village limits if possible depending on specific use	10/19/2021 12:59 PM
22	At the lands located at #124 and #520. Once new lands are required these should be located remotely from the village centres.	10/16/2021 3:14 PM
23	What do you mean by "industrial" and "heavy commercial" business. Factory work like Lofthouse that works employees on 12 hour shifts that destroy relationships, physical, and mental health? We don't need that kind of business. Agriculture has always been important and will be more imortant in the future. A market garden greenhouse business would work here on existing farmland.	10/16/2021 10:38 AM
24	just out of town, along the highways	10/16/2021 10:25 AM
25	On the outskirts of village boundaries.	10/16/2021 9:00 AM

Official Plan/Zoning Survey

26	No	10/11/2021 6:50 PM
27	Away from village centres, waterways and sensitive environments	10/11/2021 5:57 PM
28	Outside of villages but could be adjacent to the built up areas	10/8/2021 11:49 AM
29	outside of residential developments and downtown cores	10/4/2021 11:19 PM
30	this is dependent on specific use but if possible try to locate in outlying areas	10/4/2021 2:54 PM
31	We should welcome eco friendly commercial business on the outskirts of town away from the lakes	10/3/2021 12:17 PM
32	Not on the river!! I would like to see these on a small bay on the lake but with a large on land garage and docks out of sight from the water.	10/2/2021 7:48 AM
33	Where there is space. Away from the heart of the villages	10/1/2021 10:13 AM
34	Outskirts	10/1/2021 9:57 AM
35	Outskirts	10/1/2021 8:04 AM
36	Wherever they can be. We need more businesses. A	10/1/2021 1:43 AM
37	Near the highways	9/30/2021 11:19 PM
38	They should be located in an industrial park area far from residential zones	9/30/2021 10:33 PM
39	Not in really populated areas. Must have access to roadways that will support the traffic	9/30/2021 9:18 PM
40	No Place, people move here to flee industrialization	9/30/2021 8:59 PM
41	Near the highways	9/30/2021 12:09 AM
42	Along Highways 124, 520, 510, the Nipissing road and properties on side roads within 100 yards from the Hwy.	9/29/2021 1:50 AM
43	I don't think either have a place in the municipality. The municipality does not have the infrastructure to offer these options	9/28/2021 11:52 PM
44	Hwy 124 and Hwy 520	9/28/2021 8:20 PM
45	Outside of Villages	9/28/2021 4:52 PM
46	On the Hwy	9/28/2021 4:06 PM
47	more remote and away from the water	9/28/2021 2:38 PM
48	Where the infrastructure can accommodate	9/28/2021 2:07 PM

Q3 How far should someone be allowed to build from the road or shoreline in rural areas?

Answered: 47 Skipped: 6

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I believe the current standard is 50' (15 meters) and that is appropriate	12/2/2021 6:45 PM
2	Difficult to understand the relationship between shoreline and road setbacks. Shoreline setbacks are very important for protection of waterways (septic systems), wildlife, shoreline protection, noise carried over water, night light impact, etc. I am not familiar with issues re building setbacks from roads. Presumably fire/emergency access, snowplows, but again there are likely environmental concerns that should be addressed eg natural environment or hard scape structures along road	11/27/2021 3:44 PM
3	Current bylaws as is..not to close	11/20/2021 9:55 PM
4	Get environmental impact report. Go with recommendations	11/20/2021 8:25 PM
5	Current setbacks are fine	11/18/2021 11:02 PM
6	Keep shoreline clean and pristine as possible	11/18/2021 10:24 PM
7	The setbacks of 100 ft for buildings, 175 for septic. And any changes, ie septic should not change or disturb the surrounding properties. Elevation changes must be monitored, as well as any changes to properties ie tree removal should be kept to a minimal.	11/16/2021 4:37 PM
8	close for the view	11/10/2021 2:15 PM
9	30-40 metres at least	11/9/2021 6:50 PM
10	At least 300 ft from shoreline	11/9/2021 12:00 PM
11	20 ft	11/8/2021 1:58 PM
12	The current 50' setback on shoreline property is adequate	11/7/2021 12:22 AM
13	dwelling should 50 feet or more from the shoreline; more if they are close to existing dwellings.	11/6/2021 3:41 PM
14	shoreline based on environmental best practices	11/6/2021 1:38 PM
15	50-75 feet?	10/27/2021 4:08 PM
16	I would defer to professionals on what would be a safe distance from the shoreline - it could also depend on the use such a residential or commercial and what type of commercial (e.g. marina versus garage). Where a commercial building is occurring in the 'downtown' core, I would encourage more sidewalk buildings to create a sense of the small town downtown walkable community feel	10/27/2021 8:15 AM
17	there are standards already in place	10/19/2021 1:49 PM
18	As far as they like if they are paying for their access	10/19/2021 1:04 PM
19	75 yards from shoreline or road	10/19/2021 1:02 PM
20	50' as per current zoning bylaw	10/19/2021 12:59 PM
21	Current setback is acceptable.	10/16/2021 3:14 PM
22	Current provincial regulations state 150 feet from a shoreline. However, the climate is changing and I would say 200 feet from shoreline (more if the land is in a flood plain). Minimum from road allowance survey should be at least 100 feet.	10/16/2021 10:38 AM
23	anywhere on their own property. I don't know the current regulation	10/16/2021 10:25 AM

Official Plan/Zoning Survey

24	25 feet.	10/16/2021 9:00 AM
25	100+ feet	10/11/2021 6:50 PM
26	Unsure but should not affect neighbours' views. Should also consider distance from property lines do as not to loom over neighbouring properties	10/11/2021 5:57 PM
27	From road 7 m and waterfront at least 17 m	10/8/2021 11:49 AM
28	reasonable distance to allow for parking and driveways	10/4/2021 11:19 PM
29	soft minimum if lot size is sufficient	10/4/2021 2:54 PM
30	6 meters from roads and 30 meters from shoreline	10/3/2021 12:17 PM
31	50ft	10/2/2021 7:48 AM
32	I think each case needs to be reviewed. However there should be minimal change to natural elevation and natural shoreline.	10/1/2021 10:13 AM
33	Far enough to protect shoreline. No distance requirement from the road	10/1/2021 9:57 AM
34	25m	10/1/2021 8:04 AM
35	Road - 15 feet. Allow for variances if roads unopened or unique circumstances. Shorelines should be far enough back to avoid flooding in homes and keep septic and wells appropriately back.	10/1/2021 1:43 AM
36	Current set backs are fine	9/30/2021 11:19 PM
37	Minimum 30 metres	9/30/2021 10:33 PM
38	However far from road they want, and not so close to shoreline that it ruins the visual appeal.	9/30/2021 9:18 PM
39	It depends what they are building, a Deck, no problem	9/30/2021 8:59 PM
40	We should not be able to see them	9/30/2021 12:09 AM
41	Not sure.	9/29/2021 1:50 AM
42	Like the current designation for building on ahmic lake, rural is questionable	9/28/2021 11:52 PM
43	50 feet	9/28/2021 8:20 PM
44	A safe distance to protect the shoreline, natural vegetation and wildlife...and risk from flooding	9/28/2021 4:52 PM
45	Dependsfrom an assumed road 30 meters from an unassumed road 20 meter from a shoreline 20 meters	9/28/2021 4:06 PM
46	much like today	9/28/2021 2:38 PM
47	To where it doesn't interfere with natural habitats	9/28/2021 2:07 PM

Q4 Do you think that trailers should be used as living space? If so, where do you think they should be allowed?

Answered: 51 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Not on lake, perhaps elsewhere	12/2/2021 6:45 PM
2	The real problem, as you know, is that trailers are not considered residences for property assessment purposes. Thus owners of properties with trailers do not pay for their use of municipal services. This is not fair. As well, I am not sure whether trailers are even subject to our building bylaws. Until this problem is addressed, trailers should not be allowed as residences in the municipality.	11/27/2021 3:44 PM
3	I believe trailers should be allowed to be used as living space if needed to prevent homelessness/allow people to have a higher quality of life or to live in safer accommodations	11/27/2021 1:52 PM
4	Are we talking year round...maybe..need more details	11/20/2021 9:55 PM
5	Yes in a designated area	11/20/2021 8:25 PM
6	Secondary or temporary dwellings only	11/18/2021 11:02 PM
7	Hell no to trailers unless in a trailer park. Nothing looks worse than trailers set up amongst houses	11/18/2021 10:24 PM
8	Trailers for temp visit, front of property. Not permanent living space.	11/16/2021 4:37 PM
9	yes	11/10/2021 2:15 PM
10	Trailers are for temporary (seasonal) use only.	11/9/2021 6:50 PM
11	not at all	11/9/2021 12:00 PM
12	Yes this is important to ensure housing for all income levels.	11/8/2021 1:58 PM
13	Yes, in rural areas	11/7/2021 12:22 AM
14	No, not along the waterfront. On roads, they should be set back.	11/6/2021 3:41 PM
15	yes / not sure	11/6/2021 1:38 PM
16	Park model for 4 season is ok, but not within the town proper	10/27/2021 4:08 PM
17	Where it fits with the 'neighbourhood' and as long a there are property standards in place and maintained (for everyone - not just the trailer owner).	10/27/2021 8:15 AM
18	No, if someone is building a cottage or house, then let them use one during this time	10/19/2021 1:49 PM
19	yes, with septic facilities. wherever they are wanted, if rendered immobile/ permanent	10/19/2021 1:04 PM
20	no campsites	10/19/2021 1:02 PM
21	no, trailers are temporary only for spring to fall seasons	10/19/2021 12:59 PM
22	No.	10/16/2021 3:14 PM
23	NO!! And they should not be allowed! (see 10 George Street, Magnetawan)	10/16/2021 10:38 AM
24	not in the winter.	10/16/2021 10:25 AM
25	I do not think that trailers should be allowed as permanent dwellings. If a land owner wishes to use a trailer for living in while building a permanent home, this would be acceptable.	10/16/2021 9:00 AM
26	Exactly within provincial guidelines permit . And not necessarily what local government wants yo impose upon some. Thus question is somewhat of a moot point considering many such decisions are already governed /covered by the province	10/14/2021 8:52 AM

Official Plan/Zoning Survey

27	NO.	10/11/2021 6:50 PM
28	Yes, as stand alone dwellings on otherwise vacant lots	10/11/2021 5:57 PM
29	Outside of villages and away from permanent residents may be ok, but tend to in many situations to become very unattractive	10/8/2021 11:49 AM
30	rural areas	10/4/2021 11:19 PM
31	not trailers on wheels should not be used as living space. Trailers on wheels should be alloaed on any property for short stays, ie weekend or 1 or 2 weeks. If longer require a trailer license if on water front they are restricting by number of trailers.	10/4/2021 2:54 PM
32	This should be limited to max 2 year period while under construction or registered trailer or mobile home park	10/3/2021 12:17 PM
33	No.. Trailers are in the parks not on a property to avoid taxes	10/2/2021 7:48 AM
34	I think as a temporary living space as someone builds is ok. If they are being used as a permanent dwelling then there should be regulations such as size, type of trailer. Septic systems need to be looked at very carefully as well as water/hydro source.	10/1/2021 10:13 AM
35	Yes. Anywhere as long as they are maintained	10/1/2021 9:57 AM
36	Yes on rural properties	10/1/2021 8:04 AM
37	No	10/1/2021 1:43 AM
38	No, shouldn't be allowed	9/30/2021 11:19 PM
39	No	9/30/2021 10:33 PM
40	If people pay property taxes they should be able to live in what they want. Trailers should be allowed in any place people what to use them	9/30/2021 9:18 PM
41	Temporary accommodations or a foundation must be poured under it with running water.	9/30/2021 8:59 PM
42	Yes and it shouldn't matter, if you own the property you should be able to do what you want within reason	9/30/2021 8:56 PM
43	Nope. Should only be allowed when building	9/30/2021 12:09 AM
44	Yes. Only in trailer Parks or as a Principal or Second residence in the same property.	9/29/2021 1:50 AM
45	Travel trailers - not as year round residence, mobile homes yes as long as they meet code. Prefer neither was allowed in Magnetawan or ahmic harbour	9/28/2021 11:52 PM
46	Yes wherever the landowner chooses to do. They should nit have to get permission to do something unless the are criminals and committing criminal acts on the property which then Police should intervene.	9/28/2021 8:20 PM
47	NO	9/28/2021 4:52 PM
48	Trailers should be used as 3 season dwellings	9/28/2021 4:06 PM
49	no	9/28/2021 2:38 PM
50	Absolutely. On an owners land.	9/28/2021 2:07 PM
51	No	9/28/2021 1:33 PM

Q5 What are things you want considered if someone is requesting to sever their property?

Answered: 45 Skipped: 8

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	My primary concern is waterfront, I prefer a minimum of 500' feet of lake frontage	12/2/2021 6:45 PM
2	The impact of the severance on the municipality..environmental, costs of municipal services, neighbors enjoyment/use of their property, etc. also, municipality should keep track of prior severances as a way of evaluating current severance proposal (incremental impact as an example).	11/27/2021 3:44 PM
3	Will this lead to more buildings being created, especially large buildings, especially large cottages that are visible from the water/boathouses. My main question would be about the impact of the property being severed on the natural beauty of the lake.	11/27/2021 1:52 PM
4	Size of lot and purpose...are they going to build a commercial place...lots of questions here	11/20/2021 9:55 PM
5	Environmental impact.	11/20/2021 8:25 PM
6	Waterfront has to have enough room so it doesn't look crowded. Minimum acreage limit set	11/18/2021 10:24 PM
7	The resulting uses of the properties in question. If in cottage areas, will it result in increased vehicle traffic and boat traffic. Many people purchase these properties for recreation and quiet living. To then find out that there are rental properties all around them. This does not result in happy home owners.	11/16/2021 4:37 PM
8	size and access	11/10/2021 2:15 PM
9	Minimum lot severance, existing structures on property	11/9/2021 6:50 PM
10	That it doesn't impact other surrounding properties	11/8/2021 1:58 PM
11	Only that the severed lot and residual lot meet the current minimums	11/7/2021 12:22 AM
12	Whether the severed lots will allow sufficient spacing of houses and other structures to maintain sufficient wooded shoreline.	11/6/2021 3:41 PM
13	preventing someone from buying agricultural property just to change zoning	11/6/2021 1:38 PM
14	Remaining lot size, planned usage	10/27/2021 4:08 PM
15	How much will be severed and for what purpose (current or potential) and therefore the impact it would have on neighbours / neighbourhood	10/27/2021 8:15 AM
16	setbacks, usage, size of property	10/19/2021 1:49 PM
17	minimum remaining lot size	10/19/2021 1:04 PM
18	distance from neighbours maintaining trees	10/19/2021 1:02 PM
19	allowing access to an existing private road to qualify as access to a road, as long as its a legal access registered on title	10/19/2021 12:59 PM
20	Suitability for development/construction, minimum lot size, access to municipally owned roads, access to Hydro.	10/16/2021 3:14 PM
21	They must have an existing road into the property. It should not be in an area which, at any time, was designated as "deer yard" or "deer habitat." These properties should NOT be severed. We need the green space.	10/16/2021 10:38 AM
22	I asked for information to sever on my own property and was quite surprised that I couldn't build on pasture land that was already cleared. I actually want to preserve the trees. and our	10/16/2021 10:25 AM

Official Plan/Zoning Survey

pasture land is quite rocky. you need to sever 25 acres currently to build on the cleared land. 5 or even 10 acres would make more sense.

23	Protection of the water body	10/11/2021 6:50 PM
24	Intended use, number of resulting lots, wastewater capacity, how neighbouring wells might be affected, future population density on severed properties	10/11/2021 5:57 PM
25	Size of lots created and density of area if on the water.	10/8/2021 11:49 AM
26	proposed future development	10/4/2021 11:19 PM
27	expand the rule on access. Allow access off a private road if have legal access to that road and/or private road is on own property. More clarity in what an applicant can and can't do on the application process without always having to go through the planner white increases cost ie, any questions	10/4/2021 2:54 PM
28	Min 100 foot lots with good septic system	10/3/2021 12:17 PM
29	Is it beneficial to the community and is the piece of land large enough to contain a proper home in town	10/2/2021 7:48 AM
30	The surrounding properties and their sizes. Purpose of severing the lot. Who is buying these lots (we all know that corporations such as Parkbridge are buying uprecreational properties and leasing it back to residents as is happening in Wasaga beach)	10/1/2021 10:13 AM
31	Nothing.	10/1/2021 9:57 AM
32	Minimum width, intended land use	10/1/2021 8:04 AM
33	Room for proper septic systems not just holding tanks,	10/1/2021 1:43 AM
34	How it will affect neighbouring properties and nature/animals.	9/30/2021 10:33 PM
35	Property density. Don't want to take a rural area turn into an area with many homes close together.	9/30/2021 9:18 PM
36	Full sized lots.	9/30/2021 8:59 PM
37	Size and what their neighbors think	9/30/2021 12:09 AM
38	Shoreline and frontage.	9/29/2021 1:50 AM
39	EPA, size of property	9/28/2021 11:52 PM
40	That the severance can sustain a septic system, 100 foot water frontage minimum no matter where that water frontage lies (not just point to point). Fir the municipality to be user friendly during this process abd nit require extra surveys, planners, etc. User friendly planning.	9/28/2021 8:20 PM
41	Minimal shorelines of 300 ft.	9/28/2021 4:52 PM
42	Reasons why and the plan for the severed property	9/28/2021 4:06 PM
43	other uses around them	9/28/2021 2:38 PM
44	As long as they have access to it	9/28/2021 2:07 PM
45	A minimum lot size of 10 acres for a new house if severed and contiguous to lake shoreline	9/28/2021 1:33 PM